Project Title:

Student Name(s):

Elements	Needs Improvement	Competent	Very Competent	Outstanding
System Description - System architecture - Proposed solution/4	 System architecture is described vaguely. The proposed solution is insufficiently described. No justification of design choices. 	 System architecture is described in sufficient detail. The proposed solution is sufficiently described. Little justification of design choices 	 System architecture is described in very good detail. Proposed solution is very good and in described in a very good detail. Design choices and compromises are well discussed 	 System architecture details are presented with great design consideration. The proposed solution is impressive, and it is thoroughly described. Design choices are well researched, practical, and well discussed.
	0 point	0.5-1.5 points	2-2.5 points	3 - 4 points
Testing and Results - Test results - Quality of results - Critical analysis/4	 No working simulation / prototype is shown. No interpretation of results is presented about design objectives. No critiques of the design in terms of original design objectives 	 Results are presented. Prototype and/or computer simulation are shown. Some interpretation of results with regards to design objectives is presented. Pros and cons of the design are identified, and some recommendations are made. 	 Good results are presented. Good Prototype and/or computer simulation are shown. Good interpretation of results with regards to design objectives is presented. Pros and cons of the design are clear identified, and good recommendations are made. 	 Extensive results are presented. Impressive final products are shown. Excellent results are clearly interpreted in design objectives. Pros and cons of the design are clear studied, and interesting and innovative recommendations are made.
	0 point	0.5-1.5 points	2-2.5 points	3-4 points
Communication - Presentation style and delivery - Visual impact of slides /2	 Information is read from slides. Font size and style are inappropriate, too much, or irrelevant information in slides and/or unnecessary graphics. 	 The pacing is sometimes too fast or too slow. Font size and style are appropriate, slides are made generally acceptable, most information is relevant, good quality graphics are presented. 	 Pacing is good. Font size and style are appropriate, slides are made generally acceptable, most information is relevant, good quality graphics are presented. 	 The presentation conveys a very clear message. Font size and style are excellent, slides are easily read, and graphics enhance understanding of the presentation. Has Pizzazz
	0 point	0.5 point	1 point	1.5-2 point

Elements	Needs Improvement	Competent	Very Competent	Outstanding
Individual Demo	 Simulation showing functionality of individual components shown. 	Demo showing functionality of individual components shown.	 Simulation showing full functionality is shown. 	 Demo showing full functionality of project is shown.
/4.0	Signals are explained in some detail.	 Functionality of components can be explained in some detail. 	Signals and states well explained	 Board functionality explained during demo.
	0.0-0.5 point	0.5-2.5 point	2.5-3.0 point	3.0-4.0 point
Q & A	 Components of choice or simulation poorly explained. 	 Components of choice or simulation are somewhat explained. 	 Components of choice or simulation explained in good detail. 	 Components of choice or simulation explained in great detail.
/1.0	No justification for design choices.	 Justification for design partially elaborated. 	 Justification for design choices is mostly elaborated. 	 Justification for design choices is well elaborated.
	0.0-0.25 points	0.25-0.5 points	0.5-0.75 points	0.75-1.0 points

Demonstration:

Student Name:	Task:	Mark:	/5
Student Name:	Task:	Mark:	/5