Project Title:

Student Name(s):

Elements	Needs Improvement	Competent	Excellent
Abstract/Summary - Project Background - Summary/10	 Insufficient details provided for the project background to understand the project. Summary of the project report is confusing or irrelevant 	 Description of the project background is explained in adequate detail. Missing some information. Summary of the project report is adequately explained. 	 Clear description of the project background explaining the project in full detail. Summary of the project report is well laid out and concise.
	0-3 point	3-7 points	7-10 points
Design Section - Component selection - Theory - Justification/25	 Little to no explanation of the theory of the design and selected components Choice of components are poorly justified and/or make little practical sense. Little to no visuals. 	 Partial explanation of theory and logic of the design and selected components. Justification for choosing components adequately explained and are sensical. Diagrams, flow charts and some visuals are included. 	 Comprehensive explanation of the theory and logic of the design and selected components. Justification for choosing components is well explained and logically sound. Diagrams, flow charts and any other visuals used to explain the design are professionally made.
	0-8point	8-18 points	18-25 points
Simulation and Testing - Test methods - Simulation - Implementation / 30	 Reasoning for chosen tests poorly explained and are hard to follow. Simulated results insufficiently explained and/or have discrepancies with waveforms. No waveforms. Results from implementation not acknowledged. 	 Reasoning for chosen tests explained in adequate detail. Expected inputs and outputs are stated. Simulated Results explained with reference to waveforms. Results from implementation are explained/acknowledged. 	 Reasoning for chosen tests explained in great detail. Expected input and output clearly defined. Simulated results well explained and referenced to annotated waveforms. Test procedure and results from implementation explained in great detail.
	0-10 points	10-20 points	20-30 points

LCLD 4200 IC Design		i iliai keport iviai kilig kubric	rage Z UI 3				
Discussion and Conclusion - Discussion of results - Concluding remarks / 25	 Project is poorly summarized. Little to no reflection on the project problem. No acknowledgement of the optimizations made and/or ares to be improved. 	 Project is summarized in adequate detail. Adequate reflection on the project problem and some elaboration on the questions/issues encountered. Adequate reflection on the design choices and some acknowledgement of optimizations made and areas to improve. 	 Project is summarized in great detail. Deep reflection on the project problem and elaboration on the questions/issues encountered during the project and how they were solved. Deep reflection on the design choices and acknowledgement on optimizations and areas where the design could be improved. 				
	0-8point	8-18 points	18-25 points				
Appendices - Organization - Completeness / 5	■ No Appendix	 Appendices are missing projects components and/or poorly labels them 	 Appendices are well Organized and Project components are clearly labelled. 				
	0-1.5 points	1.5-3.5 points	3.5-5 points				
Formatting/5	 Report missing basic requirements such as the title page or outline. Placement of visuals are sporadic. Report is difficult to follow. 	 Report is sufficiently formatted with all the required elements. Organization is adequate. 	 Report is formatted beautifully with a cover page, table of contents, headers and all visual placements are well thought out. Pages are numbered and the report is easy to follow. 				
	0-1.5 points	1.5-3.5 points	3.5-5 points				
/100							

Marker Name:	Marker Signature:
ivialite i vallie.	ivialite signatare.